

**Jon Fischer**

---

**From:** "Jon Fischer" <jfischer@rwdirkspetroleum.com>  
**To:** "Adolfo Montesinos" <adolfo\_montesinos@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 07, 2008 5:32 PM  
**Subject:** Re: McGill Bros 590

Adolfo - There was a bucket placed over the 4 1/2" casing after it was cemented in place. This is common practice on shallow wells due to the fact that a christmas tree cannot be placed immediately after cementing the surface casing due to the rig configuration. The casing was cemented in place from TD to the surface of the ground so there was no danger of pollution or cross contamination with any water sands. This is not counting the surface casing that was also cemented in place. The christmas tree was installed and tested and the well was completed properly.

I am a registered professional engineer, licensed to do business in the State of Texas. R. W. Dirks Pet. Eng. Inc. was started in 1951 and is also licensed and bonded. We drill over 100 wells a year and we do know what we are doing. Additionally, we are most of the time having to schedule our work based on Exxon's schedule which is to say structured a lot different than ours.

J. R. Fischer  
 General Manager  
 R. W. Dirks Pet. Eng. Inc.  
 P. O. Drawer 200  
 Tuleta, Texas 78162  
 361-375-2194 (Off)  
 361-375-2100 (Fax)  
 361-362-3403 (Mob)  
 jfischer@hughes.net  
 jfischer@rwdirkspetroleum.com

----- Original Message -----

**From:** "Adolfo Montesinos" <adolfo\_montesinos@yahoo.com>  
**To:** "Jon Fischer" <jfischer@rwdirkspetroleum.com>; "Bob Edwards" <bob\_edwards@sequoiaenergy.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 07, 2008 4:40 PM  
**Subject:** Fw: McGill Bros 590

>I will respond after conferring with with ExxonMobil about the Farmout  
 >Agreement...

>

> ----- Forwarded Message -----

> From: "Roger S. Braugh, Jr." <rbraugh@swbtrial.com>

> To: adolfo\_montesinos@yahoo.com

> Cc: Stephen M. Burns <stephenburns1@mac.com>; elizabeth todd burns

> <toddyburns@mac.com>  
> Sent: Monday, April 7, 2008 3:41:43 PM  
> Subject: McGill Bros 590  
>  
> I apologize for not getting back to you sooner on this. I thought we had  
> spoken a couple of weeks ago about this matter, but maybe I am mistaken.  
>  
> I thought I advised you that I would need to see the documentation you  
> have  
> that authorizes you to operate on the premises--a farm out agreement,  
> assignment, and any other pertinent documentation. If I am mistaken about  
> our having this conversation, I apologize, but we do need some  
> documentation  
> or proof of authority.  
>  
> The ranch has some serious security issues, and we need to be able to sort  
> out those who have authority to be there from those that do not. I hoe  
> you  
> can understand these concerns.  
>  
> Compounding this problem is that this well appears to have been left  
> abandoned as a mere hole in the ground with a bucket placed over the top  
> of  
> it for quite some time. We do not believe this to be lawful conduct. If  
> this is indicative of your company's normal practice of operations, we  
> have  
> concerns about whether the company is qualified to operate on the ranch,  
> but  
> we will certainly reserve our judgment pending your response to this  
> message.  
>  
> If you can please address these two concerns--(1) documentation of  
> authority  
> and (2) an explanation as to the conduct of past operations--I am sure we  
> can accommodate your requests.  
>  
> Thanks,  
>  
> Roger S. Braugh, Jr.  
> Sico, White & Braugh L.L.P.  
> 802 N. Carancahua, Suite 900  
> Corpus Christi, Texas 78470  
> (361) 653-3300  
> (361) 653-3333 (fax)  
> [rbraugh@swbtrial.com](mailto:rbraugh@swbtrial.com)